JOIN F. MUNGER

MARK E. CHADWICK

MICHAEL S. GREEN

KEVIN R. IIARPER

MICHAEL M. RACY

(NON-LAWYER)

KATIILEEN DELANEY WINGER

GOVERNMENT RELATIONS DIRECTOR

DIRECT LINE: (520) 906-4646

MUNGER CHADWICK, P.L.C.

ATTORNEYS AT LAW
A PROFESSIONAL LIMITED LLABILITY COMPANY
NATIONAL BANK PLAZA
333 NORTH WILMOT, SUITE 300
TUCSON, ARIZONA 85711
(520) 721-1900
FAX (520) 747-1550

PHOENIX APPOINTMENT ADDRESS: 5225 N. CENTRAL SUITE 235 PHOENIX, ARIZONA 85012-1452 (602) 230-1850 RECEIVED AZ CORP COMMISSION

OF COUNSEL

JAN 20 LAWRENCE, V. ROBERTSON, JR.
ADMITTED TO PRACTICE IN:
ARIZONA. COLORADO, MONTANA.
NEVADA. TEXAS. WYOMING,
DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

DOCUMENT ON TOP COUNSEL

MILLER, LA SOTA AND PETERS, P.L.C. PHOENIX, ARIZONA

> OF COUNSEL OGARRIO Y DIAZ ABOGADOS MEXICO, D.P., MEXICO (IJCENSED SOLELY IN MEXICO)

January 20, 1999

VIA FACSIMILE AND REGULAR MAIL

Ms. Carmen Madrid, Supervisor

Docket Control Division

Arizona Corporation Commission
1200 West Washington
Phoenix, Arizona 85007

RE: Docket No. RE-00000C-94-0165

Dear Ms. Madrid:

Enclosed is PG&E Energy Services Corporation's Response to January 6, 1999 Procedural Order in the above matter. The original and ten (10) copies of this document are being mailed to your office today for filing.

Please advise our office if you have any questions regarding the enclosed.

Very truly yours,

Lawrence V. Robertson, Jr.

Enclosures (11)

cc:

Jerry Rudibaugh, Hearing Division Paul Bullis, Legal Division

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

333

RECEIVED AZ CORP COMMISSION BEFORE THE ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION Jan 20 4 27 PM 199 JIM IRVIN **COMMISSIONER - CHAIRMAN** DOCUMENT CONTROL TONY WEST COMMISSIONER CARL J. KUNASEK COMMISSIONER Docket No. RE-00000C-94-0165 IN THE MATTER OF COMPETITION IN THE PROVISION OF ELECTRIC SERVICES COMMENTS OF PG&E ENERGY THROUGHOUT THE STATE OF ARIZONA. SERVICES CORPORATION IN **RESPONSE TO JANUARY 6, 1999** PROCEDURAL ORDER

Pursuant to the first ordering paragraph of the January 6, 1999 Procedural Order issued in the above-captioned proceeding, PG&E Energy Services Corporation ("Energy Services") hereby submits its comments on the four (4) issue areas set forth in the Procedural Order. [page 1, line 21 page, line 2]

I.

ELECTRIC RESTRUCTURING ISSUES YET TO BE RESOLVED

A Threshold Overview:

The answer to this line of inquiry is in large measure dependent upon precisely what the Commission intended by its Decision No. 61311. More specifically, in "staying" the Retail Electric Competition Rules ("Rules"), as provided for in the Third Ordering Paragraph, did the Commission effectively intend to reopen for consideration all of its previous determinations on the content of the Rules and related matters.1 Or, conversely, did it only intend to subject to reconsideration (and

e.g. the calculation and recovery of stranded costs, as provided for in Decision No. 60977.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

possible revision) those amendments to the Rules which were adopted on December 11, 1998 in Decision No. 61272. The waivers from compliance provided for in the Second Ordering Paragraph would suggest that the latter alternative reflects the Commission's intent; and hopefully that is the case.

If so, then what has been "stayed" or temporarily suspended are (i) the competition rules adopted in Decision No. 59943, (ii) the stranded cost calculation and recovery procedures adopted in Decision No. 60977, in association with the competition rules, and (iii) the "emergency" amendments adopted in Decision No. 61071. These may be said to be the "Rules" at this time, for they are no longer subject to applications for rehearing and reconsideration.² The status of the "permanent" amendments adopted by Decision No. 61272 is different because reconsideration of the same has been granted in Decision NO. 61311. Thus, as a practical matter, they are not part of the "Rules" at this time.

If, however, the Commission intended to reopen in effect all of its previous decisions relating to electric restructuring, then the entire process is back to "square one" or "ground zero." Years of effort, thousands of man-hours, and hundreds of thousands (if not millions) of dollars will have been expended by the various participants in the process for naught, in terms of advancing the introduction of retail electric competition into Arizona. The only conceivable beneficiaries under this scenario would be Affected Utilities who have endeavored to delay competition thus far.

However, absent prior action by the Commission permanently adopting the same, the "emergency" amendments will cease to be effective on or about February 10, 1999.

In this regard, the Staff's Comments submitted on February 15, 1999 contain an exhaustive listing of the nature and number of issues that would require resolution in this event [Staff Comments, page 1, line 21 - page 4, line 11)

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16 17 18

> 20 21

19

22 23

24 25

26 27

28

Significant Remaining Issues:

As previously noted, Energy Services hopes (and believes) the Commission did not intend to reopen all of its prior determinations through its issuance of Decision No. 61311. Assuming that to be the case, Energy Services believes that the most significant issues requiring resolution, in order that competition may begin and progress in the Affected Utilities' service areas,4 are as follows:

- 1. Stranded cost calculation and recovery determinations for each Affected Utility, beginning with interim determinations when appropriate.
- 2. Unbundled tariff determinations for each Affected Utility, beginning with interim determinations when appropriate.
- 3. A Commission determination on whether to adopt as "permanent" the amendments to the Rules which were the subject of Decision No. 61272; or, whether to consider further refinements to those areas of proposed change.
- 4. Decisions on proposed Service Acquisition Agreements between certificated Electric Service Providers and Utility Distribution Companies (and/or Affected Utilities), as they are submitted for Commission review and approval.
- 5. Decisions on proposed agreements between certificated Electric Service Providers and the Independent System Administrator, as they are submitted for Commission review and approval.

 Π_{-}

ORDER OF RESOLUTION OF ISSUES

Assuming that the Commission intends to retain the provisions and results of (i) Decision

No. 59943, (ii) Decision No. 60977, and (iii) Decision No. 61071, except as modified on rehearing

As noted in Energy Services' Application For Rehearing of Decision No. 61303, all that is required in order for Energy Services to commence competition in the Salt River Project's service area is a service acquisition agreement.

and reconsideration of Decision No. 61272, Energy Services believes that the aforementioned significant issues should be addressed and resolved by the Commission in the following order:

- 1. Reconsideration and decision upon the "permanent" amendments which were the subject of Decision No. 61272.
- Contemporaneously, consideration of and decision upon the stranded cost calculation and recovery proposals of Affected Utilities, beginning with interim determinations when appropriate.
- 3. Contemporaneously, consideration of and decision upon the unbundled tariff proposals of Affected Utilities, beginning with interim determinations when appropriate.
- 4. Decisions upon proposed Service Acquisition Agreements between individual certificated Electric Service Providers and Utility Distribution Companies (and/or Affected Utilities), as they are submitted for Commission review and approval.
- 5. Decisions on proposed agreements between certificated Electric Service Providers and the Independent System Administrator, as they are submitted for Commission review and approval.

The introduction of retail electric competition by a given certificated Electric Service Provider within the service area of a given Affected Utility may on occasion require the consideration and resolution of additional case-specific issues. However, those listed above are the "core" issues which will need to be addressed in order for competition with Affected Utilities to begin and progress.

Ш.

METHOD AND TIMING OF RESOLUTION

Assuming it is correct in its assumption that the Commission did not intend to unravel and restart the transition process by its issuance of Decision No. 61311, Energy Services believes that

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

that process is substantially more advanced than some critics are willing to concede. As a consequence, there is much that can be accomplished within the near future.

For example, with the exception of the amendments to the Rules proposed by the Staff on November 24, 1998 which relate to matters not addressed in Decision No. 61071, there has been ample opportunity for comment by participating parties and the general public. Thus, with the allowance of an additional brief comment period limited to those new matters, and adequate time for the Hearing Division to review and evaluate the same, this "issue" can be positioned for consideration and decision by the Commission in the near-future.

Similarly, the unbundled tariff and stranded cost calculation and recovery proposals of the various Affected Utilities have been known for some time; and, in some instances, comments and criticisms have been filed. Where necessary, these proposals can now be scheduled for hearing and decision in a timely manner. In this regard, it should be noted that the prospect of settlement discussion remains available as an option for moving these issues, on an individual Affected Utility basis, forward to the point of decision by the Commission.

Finally, Energy Services believes that the review and approval of Service Acquisition Agreements and agreements with the Independent System Administrator are, of necessity, best addressed and resolved on the basis of the individual circumstances of the certificated Electric Service Provider in question. As initial agreements are presented and approved, a pattern may begin to emerge as to certain features which are central to each such agreement. But other aspects will be tailored to address the specific situation(s). Thus, it is neither necessary nor appropriate to subject the negotiation and presentation of these agreements to a general process or a central timetable.

IV.

RUCO/ATTORNEY GENERAL PROPOSAL

The preceding portions of these Comments comprise, in large measure, Energy Services' observations as they relate to matters addressed within the Joint Proposal submitted by RUCO and the Arizona Attorney General. However, two additional matters warrant comment.

First, with regard to Footnote 2 on page 3 of the Joint Proposal, and as noted above, the Commission should affirm that its "stay" of the Rules was not intended to reopen that which Decision Nos. 55943, 60977 and 61071 have already resolved. Rather, all that is subject to reconsideration are the amendments which were the subject of Decision No. 61272.

Second, with regard to Item No. 7 on page 4, it should be remembered that meaningful settlements will occur because the parties are so inclined, not because of a Commission directive.

Dated this 20th day of January, 1998.

Respectfully submitted,

Laurence V. Rabertrau, Lu

Lawrence V. Robertson, Jr.
Attorney for PG&E Energy Services
Corporation

C:\WORK\I_ARRY\PG&E\Response-Proc.Ord.wpd

Copies of the foregoing mailed this 20th day of January, 1999, to:

Barbara Klemstine ARIZONA PUBLIC SERVICE CO. Law Department, Station 9909 P.O. Box 53999 Phoenix, Arizona 85072-3999

Greg Patterson RUCO 2828 N Central Ave, Suite 1200 Phoenix, Arizona 85004

Michael A. Curtis
MARTINEZ & CURTIS, P.C.
2712 North 7th Street
Phoenix, Arizona 85006
Attorneys for Arizona Municipal Power Users' Association

Walter W. Meek, President ARIZONA UTILITY INVESTORS ASSOCIATION 2100 N. Central Avenue, Suite 210 Phoenix, Arizona 85004

Rick Gilliam
LAND AND WATER FUND O F THE ROCKIES
2260 Baseline Road, Suite 200
Boulder, Colorado 80302

Charles R. Huggins ARIZONA STATE AFL-CIO 5818 N. 7th Street, Ste 200 Phoenix, Arizona 85014-8511

David C. Kennedy LAW OFFICES OF DAVID C. KENNEDY 100 West Clarendon Avenue, Suite 200 Phoenix, Arizona 85012-3525

Norman J. Furuta
DEPARTMENT OF THE NAVY
900 Commodore Drive, Building 107
San Bruno, California 94066-0720

Thomas C. Home
Michael S. Dulberg
HORNE, KAPLAN & BISTROW, P.C.
40 North Central Avenue, Suite 2800
Phoenix, Arizona 85004

Barbara S. Bush
COALITION FOR RESPONSIBLE ENERGY EDUCATION
315 West Riviera Drive
Tempe, Arizona 85252

Rick Lavis
ARIZONA COTTON GROWERS ASSOCIATION
4139 East Broadway Road
Phoenix, Arizona 85040

Steve Brittle
DON'T WASTE ARIZONA, INC.
6205 South 12th Street
Phoenix, Arizona 85040

Karen Glennon 19037 N. 44th Avenue Glendale, Arizona 85308

COLUMBUS ELECTRIC COOPERATIVE, INC. P.O. Box 631
Deming, New Mexico 88031

CONTINENTAL DIVIDE ELECTRIC COOPERATIVE P.O. Box 1087
Grants, New Mexico 87020

DIXIE ESCALANTE RURAL ELECTRIC ASSOCIATION CR Box 95
Beryl, Utah 84714

GARKANE POWER ASSOCIATION, INC. P.O. Box 790 Richfield, Utah 84701

Stephen Ahearn
ARIZONA DEPT OF COMMERCE ENERGY OFFICE
3800 N. Central Avenue, 12th floor
Phoenix, Arizona 85012

Beny Pruint ARIZONA COMMUNITY ACTION ASSOC. 2627 North 3rd Street, Ste #2 Phoenix, Arizona 85004

Bradley Carroll
TUCSON ELECTRIC POWER CO.
P.O. Box 711
Tucson, Arizona 85702

A.B. Baardson NORDIC POWER 4281 N. Summerset Tucson, Arizona 85715

Michael Rowley c/o CALPINE POWER SERVICES 50 West San Fernando, Suite 550 San Jose, California 95113

Dan Neidlinger 3020 N. 17th Drive Phoenix, Arizona 85015

Jessica Youle
PAB300
SALT RIVER PROJECT
P.O. Box 52025
Phoenix, Arizona 85072-2025

Clifford Cauthen GRAHAM COUNTY ELECTRIC CO-OP P.O. Drawer B Pima, Arizona 85543

Joe Eichelberger MAGMA COPPER COMPANY P.O. Box 37 Superior, Arizona 85273 Craig Marks
CITIZENS UTILITIES COMPANY
2901 N. Contral Avenue, Suite 1660
Phoenix, Arizona 85012

Jack Shilling
DUNCAN VALLEY ELECTRIC COOPERATIVE
P.O. Box 440
Duncan, Arizona 85534

Nancy Russell
ARIZONA ASSOCIATION OF INDUSTRIES
1111 North 3rd Street
Phoenix, Arizona 85004

Barry Huddleston DESTEC ENERGY P.O. Box 4411 Houston, Texas 77210-4411

Steve Montgomery JOHNSON CONTROLS 2032 West 4th Street Tempe, Arizona 85281

Ken Saline K.R. SALINE & ASSOCIATES 160 N. Pasadena Suite 101 Mesa, AZ 85201

Louis A. Stahl STREICH LANG 2 North Central Avenue Phoenix, Arizona 85004

Sheryl Johnson TEXAS-NEW MEXICO POWER CO. 4100 International Plaza Fort Worth, Texas 76109

Ellen Corkhill AARP 5606 North 17th Street Phoenix, Arizona 85016

Andrew Gregorich BHP COPPER P.O. Box M San Manuel, Arizona

Larry McGraw USDA-RUS 6266 Weeping Willow Rio Rancho, New Mexico 87124

Jim Driscoll
ARIZONA CITIZEN ACTION
2430 S. Mill, Suite 237
Tempe, Arizona 85282

Michael Grant
GALLAGHER & KENNEDY
2600 N. Central Avenue
Phoenix, Arizona 85004
Attorneys for AEPCO

William Baker ELECTRICAL DISTRICT NO. 6 P.O. Box 16450 Phoenix, Arizona 85011

John Jay List
General Counsel
NATIONAL RURAL UTILITIES
COOPERATIVE FINANCE CORP.
2201 Cooperative Way
Herndon, Virginia 21071

Wallace Tillman
Chief Counsel
NATIONAL RURAL ELECTRIC
COOPERATIVE ASSOCIATION
4301 Wilson Blvd.
Arlington, Virginia 22203-1860

Robert Julian
PPG
1500 Merrell Lane
Belgrade, Montana 59714

Department of Navy
Naval Facilities Engineering Command
Navy Rate Intervention
901 M Street SE, Building 212
Washington, DC 20374
Atm: Sam DeFrawi

Robert S. Lynch 340 E. Palm Lane, Suite 140 Phoenix, Arizona 850004-4529

Michael Block
Goldwater Institute
Bank One Center
201 North Central
Concourse Level
Phoenix, Arizona 85004

Carl Robert Aron
Executive Vice President and COO
Itron, Inc.
2818 N. Sullivan Road\
Spokene, Washington 99216

Douglas Nelson DOUGLAS C NELSON PC 7000 N. 16th Street, Suite 120-307 Phoenix, Arizona 85020

Albert Sterman ARIZONA CONSUMERS COUNCIL 2849 East 8th Street Tucson, Arizona 85716

Suzanne Dallimore
Antitrust Unit Chief
Department of Law Building
Attorney General's Office
1275 West Washington Street
Phoenix, Arizona 85007

Vinnie Hunt CITY OF TUCSON Department of Operations 4004 S. Park Avenue, Building #2 Tucson, Arizona 85714

Steve Wheeler
Thomas M. Murnaw
SNELL. & WILMER
One Arizona Center
400 E. Van Buren Street
Phoenix, Arizona 85004-0001
Attorneys for APS

William Sullivan
MARTINEZ & CURTIS, P.C.
2716 N. 7th Street.
Phoenix, Arizona 85006
Attorneys for Mohave Electric Cooperative and
Navopache Electric Cooperative

Elizabeth S. Firkins INTERNATIONAL BROTHERHOOD OF ELECTRICAL WORKERS, L.U. #1116 750 S. Tucson Blvd. Tucson, Arizona 85716-5698

Carl Dabelstein 2211 E. Edna Avenue Phoenix, Arizona 85022

Larry K. Udall Arizona Municipal Power Users' Assoc. 2712 N. 7th Street Phoenix, Arizona 85006-1090

Roderick G. McDougall
City Attorney
Attn: Jesse Sears, Assistant Chief Counsel
200 W Washington Street, Suite 1300
Phoenix, Arizona 85003-1611

William J. Murphy 200 W Washington Street, Suite 1400 Phoenix, Arizona 85003-1611

Michelle Ahlmer
ARIZONA RETAILERS ASSOCIATION
137 E. University
Mesa, Arizona 85201

Terry Ross
Center for Energy & Economic Development
P. O. Box 288
Franktown, Colorado 80116

Peter Glaser
Doherty Rumble & Butler PA
1401 New York Ave NW Suite 1100
Washington DC 20005

Russell E. Jones 33 N. Stone Ave., Suite 2100 P.O. Box 2268 Tucson, Arizona 85702

Christopher Hitchcock
P.O. Box 87
Bisbee, Arizona 85603-0087
Attorneys for Sulphur Springs Valley
Electric Cooperative, Inc.

Myron L. Scott 1628 E. Southern Avenue, No. 9-328 Tempe, AZ 85282-2179 Attorneys for Arizona for a Better Environment

Andrew Bettwy
Debra Jacobson
SOUTHWEST GAS CORPORATION
5241 Spring Mountain Road
Las Vegas, Nevada 89102

Barbara R. Goldberg OFFICE OF THE CITY ATTORNEY 3939 Civic Center Blvd. Scottsdale, Arizona 85251

Phyllis Rowe Arizona Consumers Council P. O. Box 1288 Phoenix, Arizona 85001

Thomas Pickrell Arizona School Board Association 2100 N. Central Avenue Phoenix, Arizona 85004

Dougals Mitchell
SAN DIEGO GAS AND ELECTRIC CO.
P.O. BOX 1831
San Diego, CA 92112